Open Access *Epistora Journal of Engineering and Technological Innovation*

EPISTORA *Perspective Article*

Trading Metal for Lives: What Real-World Warfare Teaches About the Future of Unmanned Ground Vehicles (UGVs)

Paulo Dominonni
Defense Industrial Architect, DOMMI Aerospace & Defense, São Paulo, Brazil

Submitted: September 21, 2026 **Accepted: September 26, 2026** **Published: September 30, 2026**

Citation: Paulo Dominonni (2026) Trading Metal for Lives: What Real-World Warfare Teaches About the Future of Unmanned Ground Vehicles (UGVs). Epistora J. Eng. & Tech. Innov. 1(1), 1-03.
Article EJETI-2026-102

Abstract

The war in Ukraine has become the largest real-world testing ground for unmanned ground vehicles (UGVs), stripping away exhibition-hall narratives and exposing what these systems actually do under fire. This paper distills five practical lessons from that experience and assesses their implications for defense markets and industrial strategy. First, the decisive contribution of UGVs has been logistical rather than kinetic: in the most contested sectors, ground robots now perform the majority of last-mile resupply and casualty evacuation, reducing infantry exposure. Second, full autonomy has largely given way to teleoperation, with artificial intelligence confined to a narrow assistive role and the human kept in the loop, particularly for weapons release. Third, the contested electromagnetic and aerial environment-saturated by reconnaissance and first-person-view (FPV) drones-has made survivability, not payload, the central design problem. Fourth, decentralized, rapid production created a severe standardization crisis, elevating component commonality, open architectures and forward repair to strategic priorities. Fifth, simple, rugged and attritable platforms have consistently outperformed expensive, complex ones. Taken together, these lessons reframe the UGV question away from the ultra-complex autonomous vehicle and toward inexpensive, robust, networkintegrated ground tools designed to trade metal for lives. The paper concludes with implications for procurement, sustainment and the defense industrial base, with particular relevance to emerging markets seeking a realistic entry path into unmanned ground systems.

Keywords: Unmanned Ground Vehicles, UGVs, Military Robotics, Ground Robotics, Autonomous Systems, Unmanned Systems, Battlefield Robotics, Defense Technology, Military Technology, Combat Robotics

Introduction

The practical reality of unmanned ground vehicles (UGVs) in the war in Ukraine functions as the world's largest real-world testing laboratory, useful precisely because it demystifies market narratives. In a scenario of extreme technological saturation and severe manpower shortages, ground robots have ceased to be defense-expo promises and now operate directly on the front lines. By 2025 the trajectory had exceeded expectations: Ukraine established its first dedicated UGV battalion, and domestic industry delivered roughly fifteen thousand ground vehicles to frontline units, up from about two thousand the previous year. The scale continued to accelerate into 2026, when the Ministry of Defense set a target to contract tens of thousands of additional UGVs and stated the explicit goal of shifting all frontline logistics onto robotic systems (Sources: 1, 6, 7). The lessons below are drawn from that operational record, cross-referenced against reporting and analysis from field units, defense-technology media, and research institutions. They are organized as five findings, each with a direct bearing on how UGVs should be specified, produced and sustained.

Success lies in logistics, not media-driven combat

While armed "combat robots" equipped with machine guns and grenade launchers capture media attention - acting as micro-platforms for trench suppression-the decisive impact of UGVs has been quiet and logistical.

The last mile. In high-attrition sectors such as Pokrovsk and Myrnograd, remote ground platforms have taken over the majority of hazardous resupply runs at the most advanced positions. In some brigades, UGVs now perform on the order of 80 to 90 percent of logistics operations along the line of contact, carrying loads well beyond what a soldier can add to a combat load. (Sources: 1, 2)

Medical evacuation (MEDEVAC). Extracting a wounded soldier under fire traditionally required three to four able-bodied combatants, exposing an entire team. Using UGVs for casualty transport has sharply reduced infantry exposure during these missions, and evacuation has become one of the most valued non-kinetic roles for ground robots. (Sources: 2, 3, 8)

“Autonomy” has largely been replaced by remote control

Commercial narratives emphasize artificial intelligence autonomously determining routes and engaging targets. On the front line, full autonomy has proven fragile and often ineffective, owing to terrain unpredictability and the high risk of fratricide. In practice, the great majority of operational UGVs are teleoperated-remotely controlled by a human via radio links or fiber-optic cables. AI is applied within a much narrower scope, chiefly assisting with basic return navigation if the communications link drops. The final decision, especially regarding weapons employment, remains under human supervision (human-in-the-loop). Analysts converge on the same conclusion: the economic and technical burdens of full autonomy make human-in-the-loop the only scalable option on today's battlefield, with autonomy acting as a fallback when jamming severs the link rather than as the default mode. (Sources: 4, 9, 10, 11)

The “sky” is the ground robot’s greatest enemy

Unlike testing in controlled environments, UGVs operate under a sky constantly monitored by reconnaissance drones and hunted by FPV kamikaze drones. Because ground robots move more slowly than aerial vehicles and can become trapped by obstacles, they are comparatively easy targets; anything entering the kill zone can be struck within minutes. Field experience has therefore imposed the need to "militarize" the UGV against aerial threats-integrating small, portable electronic-warfare (EW) jammers or improvised protection (cope cages) directly onto the chassis-and to reduce acoustic and thermal signature so platforms can elude enemy reconnaissance. The same intense EW environment that threatens the platform also degrades its control links, which is why survivability, not payload, has become the central design problem. (Sources: 3, 4, 11, 12)

The standardization crisis

Because UGV manufacturing scaled up in a decentralized manner across dozens of local startups and workshops-driven by technology-acceleration initiatives-armed forces were confronted with a major logistical challenge. Troops have reported operating multiple robot models with entirely different components, motors, power connectors, radio frequencies and software. The principal takeaway is that, for UGVs to function effectively at scale, industry must prioritize component standardization and open architectures that allow rapid repair in field workshops. Ukraine's experience shows that even advanced unmanned systems lose their tactical value if they cannot be repaired, modified and returned to operation within hours rather than days or weeks; forward repair is not a luxury but a combat necessity. Efforts to codify logistics platforms to common (including NATO) standards point to the corrective now under way. (Sources: 2, 5, 6)

Simplicity and expendability outperform complexity

Extremely expensive and complex ground vehicles have proven to be a tactical liability. If a UGV costing hundreds of thousands of dollars becomes stuck in a muddy ditch or is destroyed by a commercially derived drone costing a fraction as much, the operational and financial arithmetic does not hold. The market has learned that the most effective ground robots are simple, rugged and cheap enough to be lost in combat. Low-profile modular platforms-built on heavy-duty wheels or basic tracks with commercial electric motors-have shown far greater resilience and practical utility, and industry assessments increasingly favor affordable, mass-producible systems over complex hardware. Attritability, in other words, is a feature rather than a defect. (Sources: 3, 13)

The frontline verdict

The war has validated the urgent need for UGVs but has fundamentally shifted the industry's focus. The objective is no longer to build an ultra-complex autonomous armored vehicle, but to "trade metal for lives" through inexpensive, robust and network-integrated ground tools. For defense markets-and especially for emerging industrial bases seeking a realistic entry path-the implications are direct. Specification should privilege survivability, simplicity and repairability over maximal autonomy and payload. Procurement should favor open architectures and common components that enable forward maintenance and multi-supplier competition. And sustainment, not the initial platform sale, is where operational availability is won or lost. The UGV that survives, that can be fixed at the front, and that is cheap enough to risk is the one that delivers value in high-intensity conflict.

Sources

1. Modern War Institute (West Point), “Networked for War: Lessons from Ukraine’s Ground Robots”: https://mwi.westpoint.edu/networked-for-war-lessons-from-ukraines-ground-robots/
2. Euromaidan Press, “Ukraine wants robots doing 100% of frontline logistics” (frontline resupply and evacuation-run data): https://euromaidanpress.com/2026/07/09/ukraine-wants-robots-doing-100-of-frontline-logistics-in-june-theyran-nearly-17000-supply-and-evacuation-runs/
3. Jamestown Foundation, “Ukraine Becomes World Leader in Unmanned Ground Vehicles”: https://jamestown.org/ukraine-becomes-world-leader-in-unmanned-ground-vehicles/
4. Royal United Services Institute (RUSI), “Emergent Approaches to Combined Arms Manoeuvre in Ukraine” (electronic warfare, jamming and platform survivability during manoeuvre): https://www.rusi.org/explore-our-research/publications/insights-papers/emergent-approaches-combined-armsmanoeuvre-ukraine
5. Brave1 / Digital State UA, defense-technology acceleration and component-standardization initiative: https://brave1.gov.ua/
6. Defense News, “Ukraine to field 25,000 ground robots in push to replace soldiers for frontline logistics”: https://www.defensenews.com/unmanned/2026/04/24/ukraine-to-field-25000-ground-robots-in-push-to-replacesoldiers-for-frontline-logistics/
7. The New Voice of Ukraine, on the first full-scale UGV battalion (logistics, medevac and combat): https://english.nv.ua/nation/how-ua-unmanned-ground-forces-push-the-front-line-forward-50534530.html
8. The Jerusalem Post, on UGV logistics and casualty-evacuation roles and fielding scale: https://www.jpost.com/defense-and-tech/article-893608
9. Council on Foreign Relations, “How Ukraine’s Drone Innovation Reversed Russia’s Momentum” (human-designated targeting; autonomy as fallback under jamming): https://www.cfr.org/articles/how-ukraines-drone-innovationreversed-russias-momentum
10. B. Cook, “Last-Mile Targeting in Ukraine’s Drone War: AI, Edge Computing, and the Limits of Autonomy” (humanin-the-loop as the only scalable option): https://xxtomcooperxx.substack.com/p/last-mile-targeting-in-ukraines-drone
11. The War Zone (TWZ), on fiber-optic control of UGVs to defeat jamming, tested via Brave1: https://www.twz.com/land/unmanned-ground-vehicles-controlled-via-fiber-optic-cables-being-tested-by-ukraine
12. IEEE Spectrum, “How Cheap Ground Robots Are Rewriting Frontline Warfare in Ukraine” (FPV threat; lowsignature design): https://spectrum.ieee.org/ukraine-ground-drones
13. Defense News, “Ukrainian ground robot maker doubles production, eyes ventures with foreign partners” (affordable, mass-producible UGVs; Trinity Robotics): https://www.defensenews.com/global/europe/2026/07/09/ukrainian-ground-robot-maker-doubles-production-eyesventures-with-foreign-partners/

© 2026 Paulo Dominonni. Published by Epistora Publications under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License. Unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.